# Histopathology of Telomerase Reverse Transcriptase Promoter (TERT) Mutated **Indeterminate Thyroid Nodules**

# Pinto, Jessica;<sup>1</sup> Livhits, Masha J.;<sup>2</sup> Yeh, Michael W.;<sup>2</sup> Kaykov, Atanas;<sup>3</sup> Klopper, Joshua P.;<sup>4</sup> Kloos, Richard T.;<sup>4</sup> Hao, Yangyang;<sup>5</sup> Huang, Jing;<sup>5</sup> Kennedy, Giulia C.;<sup>6</sup> Endo, Mayumi<sup>7</sup>

1. Department of Internal Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA. 2. Section of Endocrine Surgery, UCLA David Geffen School of Medical Affairs, Veracyte, South San Francisco, CA. 3. Department of Research and Development, Veracyte, South San Francisco, CA. 6. Departments of Clinical Affairs, Medical Affairs, Research and Development, Veracyte, Inc., South San Francisco, CA. 7. Division of Metabolism, Endocrinology, and Nutrition, University of Washington, Seattle, WA.

# INTRODUCTION

Thyroid cancers with telomerase reverse transcriptase promoter (*TERT*) mutations have worse disease-free and disease-specific survival than thyroid cancers with wild type TERT. Additionally, TERT mutations are enriched in high risk, poorly differentiated, and anaplastic thyroid cancer. Conversely, most thyroid cancers arising from thyroid nodules with indeterminate cytology (Bethesda III/IV - ITNs) are not aggressive. The objective of this study is to analyze the risk of malignancy and the resultant histopathology of *TERT* mutated ITNs.

# **METHODS**

A PubMed search (2009-2022) of molecularly tested ITNs was conducted and data on *TERT* mutated ITNs with histopathology correlation were extracted. Thyroid nodules with Bethesda V cytology were excluded. The malignancy rate was calculated for all *TERT* mutated ITNs, ITNs with isolated TERT mutations, TERT + RAS, and TERT + BRAFV600E co-mutated nodules (+/- additional mutations). Histopathology risk, when described, was assessed within each group. High risk included anaplastic thyroid cancer, widely invasive follicular thyroid cancer, poorly differentiated thyroid cancer, and descriptions of "aggressive behavior". NIFTP was considered a low-risk malignancy as was minimally invasive follicular thyroid cancer, follicular tumor of uncertain malignant potential, and descriptions of low-risk tumors.

## RESULTS

- Twenty-six manuscripts (published between 2014-2022) reported on 77 *TERT* mutated ITNs. (Figure 1)
- Thirty ITNs (39%) had an isolated *TERT* mutation, 34 (44%) had *TERT* + *RAS* (+/- a 3rd mutation), 5 (6%) had *TERT* + *BRAF*V600E (+/- other mutations) and 8 (10%) had *TERT* + other mutations. (**Table 1**)
- Sixty-five nodules were malignant (84%), with 16 (25%) described with high-risk histopathology, 5 (8%) described as low-risk, and most without any description.
- Isolated *TERT* mutations were malignant in 26/30 ITNs (86.7%) with 9 malignancies (35%) described as high risk and none described as low risk. *TERT* + *RAS* mutated ITNs were malignant in 29/34 ITNs (85.3%) with 3 malignancies (10%) described as high risk and 4 (14%) described as low risk. Finally, all 5 TERT + BRAFV600E mutated nodules were malignant and 3/5 (60%) were described as high risk. (Figure 2). There was no significant difference in risk of malignancy between TERT alone, *TERT* + *RAS*, and *TERT* + *BRAF*V600E (p=0.97, 3x2 chi-square test). With regards to aggressive histology, there was no difference between the three groups (p=0.18, 3x2 chi-square test) or between *TERT* alone and TERT + RAS (p = 0.08, 2x2 chi-square test).

## FIGURE 1—Identification of studies via databases and registers

#### **Records identified from:** PubMed

Search terms: (variant or variants or mutation or mutations or molecular diagnostics or molecular testing or mutational panel or mutation analysis) and (fine-needle or aspiration or thyroid nodule or thyroid nodules or thyroid neoplasm or thyroid cancer or thyroid carcinoma) and (indeterminate or Bethesda III or Bethesda IV or presurgical) = 940 records



#### TABLE 1—TERT mutations in isolation and with co-mutations from ITN

NIFTP

PTC

ATC

The table includes the publication, percent malignancy and histopathologic description when provided HTC/HCC Hurthle/Oncocytic Carcinoma PDTC Poorly Differentiated Thyroid Carcinoma FA Follicular Adenoma FTC-WI Follicular Thyroid Carcinoma – Widely Invasive FVPTC Follicular Variant of Papillary Thyroid Carcinome

Non-invasive Follicular Thyroid Neoplasm with Papillary-like Nuclear Features Papillary Thyroid Carcinoma **FTC-MI** Follicular Thyroid Carcinoma – Minimally Invasive Anaplastic Thyroid Carcinoma

TERT Mutation/Co-mutation	PubMed ID	Bethesda Cytology (if stated)	# Histology Truth	# Malignant (including NIFTP)	PPV%	Final Histology (if described)
TERT	29094776	4	2	2	100.0%	malignant (no other details)
	34734965		4	4	100.0%	malignant (no other details)
	35247035		1	1	100.0%	HTC
	32671653	4	1	1	100.0%	PDTC (with vascular invasion)
	33914382		2	0	0.0%	FA, hyperplastic nodule
	29590358		2	1	50.0%	FA and PDTC
	33193891		1	1	100.0%	malianant (no other details)
	34510770		5	4	80.0%	"agaressive thyroid cancer" — 1 with LN mets
	35307577	4	1	1	100.0%	FTC-WI oncocytic type
	35189676	4	2	2	100.0%	FTC
TERTC228T	29085338		1	1	100.0%	FTC-WI
	33640868	4	- 3	- 3	100.0%	ETC pT2NX_ETC pT1bNX_ETC pT3mNX
	25209362	4	2	2	100.0%	malianant (no other details)
	29704233	•	1	1	100.0%	FTC
	20085338		1	1	100.0%	FTC-WI
TERTC250T	35625601	1	1	1	100.0%	malianant (no other details)
	33023091	4	7		95 7%	
RASTIERI	22671652		/1	1	100.0%	
NRAS + TERT	32071033	4	1	1	100.0%	EVERC focal IV invacion and 0/01 N
	24603036		1	1	100.0%	
	3402/720	1	1	1	100.0%	FIC
	25209562	4	1	2	100.0%	
	51245955		1	0	0.0%	
	32339438		2	2	100.0%	EVPTC (1 with vascular invasion)
	33914382			1	100.0%	
NRAS61+TERTC228T	29085558	7	3	3	100.0%	FVPIC (2) and FIC-WI (only I is aggressive)
	35625691	5	1	1	100.0%	
	35625691	4	1	1	100.0%	Follicular tumor of uncertain malignant potential
	33640868	4	1	0	0.0%	FA
NRASQOIR + EIFIAX + TERIC2281	340/5/60	3	1	1	100.0%	
KRAS + TERT	3306/1/5		1	1	100.0%	
	3306/1/5		1	1	100.0%	
	34627720		1	1	100.0%	
KRASI2+TERTC2281	29085338		1	1	100.0%	FVPIC
HRAS + TERT	340/5/60	4	1	1	100.0%	
	326/1653	4	1	1	100.0%	FTC (ATA low risk)
	33300952		1	1	100.0%	FIC-MI
	34605038		1	0	0.0%	FA
HRAS + EIFIAX + TERT	3306/1/5		1	0	0.0%	
BRAFV600E + TERT	340/5/60	4	2	2	100.0%	FIC and infiltrative EVPIC
	34/34965		1	1	100.0%	malignant (no other defails)
	35247035		1	1	100.0%	ATC
BRAFV600E + TERT + PIK3CA	32671653	4	1	1	100.0%	PTC with ETE, VI, > 5 LN
BRAFV600E + TERT + PTEN	34734965		1	1	100.0%	malignant (no other details)
BRAFV600E + TERT + PIK3CA + AKT1	27283257		1	1	100.0%	malignant — sub cm and "aggressive biological behavior"
BRAFK601E + TERTC228T	29094776	4	1	1	100.0%	FVPTC — pT2N0, no ETE, no vascular invasion and no recurrence
BRAFK601E + TERTC250T	29085338		1	1	100.0%	FTC-WI
EIF1AX + TERT	34627720		1	0	0.0%	FA
EIF1AX + TERTC228T	34075760	3	1	1	100.0%	FTC
<i>TP53</i> + <i>CNA</i> + <i>TERT</i> C228T	34264855	4	1	1	100.0%	HCC
TP53 + CNA + EIF1AX + TERTC228T	34264855	4	1	0	0.0%	FA
CNA + TERT	33030808		1	1	100.0%	HCC
ZNF148 + TERT	32976686		1	0	0.0%	FA
SUM			77	65	84.4%	

#### **Records removed** before screening:

Publications prior to 2013 (Prior to first publication describing TERT promoter mutations in thyroid carcinoma) (n = 146)

#### **Records excluded: 574**

Review articles (n=181) Meta-analysis (n = 26) Clinical trial (n = 17)Books and documents (n = 5)Studies without BIII/BIV cytology or histopathology correlate (n=345)

#### **Reports excluded: 194**

Studies not including TERT promoter mutation with histopathology outcomes in thyroid nodules with Bethesda III or IV cytology



## FIGURE 2—Risk of malignancy (ROM) in ITN with TERT mutation in isolation, TERT + RAS family variant, and TERT + BRAFV600E

There was no statistically significant difference in malignancy rate or proportion of aggressive malignancies when reported

## **ROM and Proportion of Aggressive Tumors**



## DISCUSSION

Only 77 TERT mutated ITNs with histopathology correlation have been reported over the last 8 years. *TERT* mutated ITNs have a high risk of malignancy (84%), and the current data does not show a difference in malignancy rate between isolated TERT. TERT + RAS. or TERT + BRAFV600E co-mutated ITNs. Interpretations of differences with TERT + BRAFV600E co-mutated lesions are likely limited by the small sample size (n = 5). Though only a small number of malignancies were described, perhaps unexpectedly, TERT + RAS co-mutated ITNs did not have a higher rate of high-risk histopathology as compared to isolated *TERT* mutated lesions. One should be cautious in attempting to draw conclusions on the differences or similarities in thyroid cancer behavior with TERT promoter mutations, whether in isolation or with RAS or BRAFV600E co-mutations, arising from ITNs. The current data does not describe the histopathology risk in the majority of *TERT* mutated ITNs. Furthermore, the oncologic outcomes, including rate of recurrence, metastases, and disease specific survival, are unknown. Further data is needed to determine if TERT mutated ITNs should be subjected to aggressive initial treatment